

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/714,229 11/14/2003 CM2711 Simon James Elmer 2467 **EXAMINER** 27752 7590 04/03/2006 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY MANAHAN, TODD E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE 3732

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E	,	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/714,229	ELMER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Todd E. Manahan	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8-13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/27/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, "first opening" should be changed to –second opening--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ouellette (United States Patent No. 6,295,993).

Ouellette discloses a device comprising an elongate reservoir 7,8,9 having opposing first and second open ends and containing a composition for treating hair and a guide means 10 capable of sliding in the reservoir. The guide means comprises a body 11 extending from the first opening in the reservoir to the second opening through the reservoir, a means 16 protruding from the second opening for pulling the guide means at least partially out of the reservoir through the second opening; and means 12 protruding from the first opening for attaching selected strands of hair to the guide means so that when the guide means is pulled out of the reservoir the strands are pulled in the reservoir through the first opening (see figures 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,229

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturdivant (United States Patent No. 3,255,765) in view of Sanders (United States Patent No. 2,839,066).

Sturdivant discloses a device comprising an elongate reservoir 11 having opposing first and second open ends and a guide means capable of sliding in the reservoir. The guide means comprises a body 14 extending from the first opening in the reservoir to the second opening through the reservoir, a means 25 protruding from the second opening for pulling the guide means at least partially out of the reservoir through the second opening; and means 15 protruding from the first opening for attaching selected strands of hair to the guide means so that when the guide means is pulled out of the reservoir the strands are pulled in the reservoir through the first opening (see figures 6 and 7). The body of the guide means comprises an inner strip of material, the pulling means is a pull strip attached to one end of the inner strip, and the attaching means is a hook attached to the end of the inner strip. Sturdivant discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the reservoir containing a composition for treating hair. Sanders discloses a hair curling strip having a composition for treating hair contained therein in order to condition and perfume the hair during the curling thereof. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the reservoir of Sturdivant with a hair treating composition therein in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/714,229

Art Unit: 3732

Sanders in order to condition and perfume the hair during the curling operation. Regarding claim 3, To form the reservoir of Sturdivant of a single strip of material folded along its longitudinal axis would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to simplify manufacture thereof by requiring only the sealing of one seam. Regarding claim 6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the reservoir of polyethylene, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPO 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571 273-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,229 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit <u>3732</u>

T.E. Manahan 31 March 2006